06



W-0284A-07-0388

Betty C

Larry & Tina BLIGH [IDIIgn@msn.com]

From: Sent:

Thursday, March 27, 2008 10:35 PM

To:

Mayes-WebEmail: Mundell-Web; Hatch-WebEmail; Pierce-Web; Gleason-WebEmail

Subject: ICR Water Users Association

Commissioners Mayes, Mundell, Hatch-Miller, Pierce and Chairman Gleason,

First, we would like to say thank you for the opportunity to share out thoughts, concerns and opinions with you related to the ICR Water Users Association Rate Case currently underway. The first reason for writing to you today, is to express our appreciation for the excellent work done by ACC Staff, specifically Charles R. Myhlhousen and Jian W. Liu. Their efforts and testimony in the proceeding related to their audit findings and review of standing ACC Decision 64360, is both clear and concise.

Reading through the Amended Testimony of Myhlhousen and Liu, lets us, as shareholders in the ICR Water Users Association, have a clear understanding of what has unfortunately taken place within our Association through our Board of Directors, both past and present. We are particularly distressed by the present Board's continued defense of the Association's failure to adhere to the requirements of Decision 64360. The time spent by Staff to analyze the data that had been submitted and arrive at their conclusions has to have been extensive. Unfortunately, it seems apparent that the Water Users Association has had complete disregard for the Commission and its authority through its noncompliance with Decision 64360. It is also unfortunate that the Staff analysis reveals that the Association did not collect \$114,290 from the Talking Rock Golf Course and staff has had to rightfully recommend including this amount as imputed revenue. The Executive Summaries for the testimonies of Myhlhousen and Liu give us, as members, a clear understanding of what has taken place.

The second issue that causes us distress with the ICR Water Users Association Board of Directors, is that it appears since Dayne Taylor has received Intervener status in the Rate Case, the Board of Directors has repeatedly met in executive sessions without identifying the purpose of its meetings. Association members are not being informed about the decisions the Board has been making concerning the Rate Case, as well as their responses to Mr. Taylor's submittals or other Rate Case responses. We only get information once it has become public record on the Commission's e-Docket website.

The Board has also canceled its regularly scheduled monthly meeting of March 25th, the only remaining meeting before the April 16th ACC Hearing, thereby, denying members the opportunity to discuss Rate Case issues with the Board. As members of the Association, we feel completely disenfranchised by the Board's actions and believe them to be completely inappropriate.

We want to thank you for your time and for your service to our State in working to ensure that rate payers, like us, are given the opportunity to be heard.

Larry & Tina Bligh 13265 N. Iron Hawk Drive Prescott, AZ 86305 928.776.1937

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

MAR 28 2008

DOCKETED BY

2